

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H. CON. RES. 376,
CONCURRENT RESOLUTION ON THE BUDGET FOR FISCAL
YEAR 2007

APRIL 5, 2006.—Referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed

Mr. PUTNAM, from the Committee on Rules,
submitted the following

R E P O R T

[To accompany H. Res. 766]

The Committee on Rules, having had under consideration House Resolution 766, by a nonrecord vote, report the same to the House with the recommendation that the resolution be adopted.

SUMMARY OF PROVISIONS OF THE RESOLUTION

The resolution provides for consideration of H. Con. Res. 376, the Concurrent Resolution on the Budget for Fiscal Year 2007, under a general debate rule. The rule provides four hours of general debate, with three hours equally divided and controlled by the chairman and ranking minority member of the Committee on the Budget, and one hour on the subject of economic goals and policies equally divided and controlled by Representative Saxton of New Jersey and Representative Maloney of New York or their designees. The rule waives all points of order against consideration of the concurrent resolution.

Finally, the rule provides that after general debate the Committee of the Whole shall rise without motion and no further consideration of the bill shall be in order except by a subsequent order of the House.

EXPLANATION OF WAIVERS

The waiver of all points of order against consideration of the concurrent resolution includes a waiver of clause 3 of rule XIII because the total of the Budget Committee rollcall vote No. 15 was incorrectly reported in H. Rept. 109-402.

OBLIGATIONS UNDER SEC. 301(c) of the congressional budget act

The Committee notes that certain features of the concurrent resolution originated by the Committee on the Budget might constitute 'procedure or matter which has the effect of changing any rule of the House' within the meaning of section 301(c) of the Budget Act. The Committee has reviewed those features of the concurrent resolution in the course of originating this special order of business for its consideration by the House. The Committee believes that this review adequately meets the needs of the Committee and obviates any practical need to refer the concurrent resolution to the Committee.